arXiv:1412.2687v2 [math.AG] 11 Dec 2014

ON LANDAU-GINZBURG SYSTEMS AND $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$ OF PROJECTIVE BUNDLES

YOCHAY JERBY

Abstract. Let $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^s} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^s}(a_i))$ be a Fano projective bundle over $\mathbb{P}^s$ and denote by $Crit(X) \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ the solution scheme of the Landau-Ginzburg system of equations of X. We describe a map $E : Crit(X) \to Pic(X)$ whose image $\mathcal{E} = \{E(z)|z \in Crit(X)\}$ is the full strongly exceptional collection described by Costa and Miró-Roig in [15]. We further show that $Hom(E(z), E(w))$ for $z, w \in Crit(X)$ can be described in terms of a monodromy group acting on $Crit(X)$.

1. Introduction and Summary of Main Results

Let X be a smooth algebraic manifold and let $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$ be the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves on X, see [22, 42]. A fundamental question in the study of $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$ is the question of existence of exceptional collections $\mathcal{E} = \{E_1, ..., E_N\} \subset \mathcal{D}^b(X)$. Such collections satisfy the property that the adjoint functors

$$RHom_X(T, -) : \mathcal{D}^b(X) \to \mathcal{D}^b(A_\mathcal{E}) \quad ; \quad - \otimes^L_{A_\mathcal{E}} T : \mathcal{D}^b(A_\mathcal{E}) \to \mathcal{D}^b(X)$$

are equivalences of categories where $T := \bigoplus_{i=1}^N E_i$ and $A_\mathcal{E} = End(T)$ is the corresponding endomorphism ring. The first example of such a collection is

$$\mathcal{E} = \{\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(1), ..., \mathcal{O}(s)\} \subset Pic(\mathbb{P}^s)$$

found by Beilinson in [7]. When X is a toric manifold one further asks the more refined question of wether $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$ admits an exceptional collection whose elements are line bundles $\mathcal{E} \subset Pic(X)$, rather than general elements of $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$?

Let X be a s-dimensional toric Fano manifold given by a Fano polytope Δ and and let Δ° be the polar polytope of Δ. Let $f_X = \sum_{n \in \Delta^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} z^n \in \mathbb{C}[z_1^\pm, ..., z_s^\pm]$ be the Landau-Ginzburg potential associated to X, see [3, 21, 36]. Recall that the Landau-Ginzburg system of equations is given by

$$z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} f_X(z_1, ..., z_s) = 0 \qquad \text{for} \quad i = 1, ..., s$$

and denote by $Crit(X) \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^s$ the corresponding solution scheme. Consider the following example:

Date: December 12, 2014.

Example (projective space): For $X = \mathbb{P}^s$ the Landau-Ginzburg potential is given by $f(z_1, ..., z_s) = z_1 + ... + z_s + \frac{1}{z_1 \cdot ... \cdot z_s}$ and the corresponding system of equations is

$$z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} f_X(z_1, ..., z_s) = z_i - \frac{1}{z_1 \cdot ... \cdot z_s} = 0 \qquad \text{for} \quad i = 1, ..., s$$

The solution scheme $Crit(\mathbb{P}^s) \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^s$ is given by $z_k = (e^{\frac{2\pi k i}{s+1}}, ..., e^{\frac{2\pi k i}{s+1}})$ for $k = 0, ..., s$.

In particular, in the case of projective space, one has the map $E : Crit(\mathbb{P}^s) \to Pic(\mathbb{P}^s)$ given by $z_k \mapsto \mathcal{O}(k)$, associating elements of the Beilinson exceptional collection to elements of the solution scheme $Crit(\mathbb{P}^s)$. In [26] we asked, motivated by the Dubrovin-Bayer-Manin conjecture, wether it is possible to similarly introduce exceptional maps $E : Crit(X) \to Pic(X)$ for more general classes of toric Fano manifolds X?
In this work we consider the next simplest case $\rho(X) := rk(Pic(X)) = 2$ which, according to Kleinschmidt's classification theorem [29] consists of projective bundles of the form

$$X = \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^s} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^s}(a_i)\right) \qquad \text{with} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i \leq s, a_i \leq a_{i+1}$$

The Picard group is expressed in this case by $Pic(X) = \pi^* H \cdot \mathbb{Z} \oplus \xi\mathbb{Z}$ where $\pi^* H$ is the pull-back of the positive generator $H \in Pic(\mathbb{P}^s)$ via $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^s$ and ξ is the tautological line bundle of X. On the "derived category side", it follows from a result of Costa and Miró-Roig in [15] that the collection $\mathcal{E}_X = \{E_{kl}\}_{k=0,l=0}^{s,r} \subset Pic(X)$ where

$$E_{kl} := k \cdot \pi^* H + l \cdot \xi \in Pic(X) \qquad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq k \leq s, 0 \leq l \leq r$$

is a full strongly exceptional collection. On the other hand, on the "Landau-Ginzburg side", the Landau-Ginzburg potential is given by

$$f(z, w) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} z_i + \sum_{i=1}^{r} w_i + \frac{w_1^{a_1} \cdot ... \cdot w_r^{a_r}}{z_1 \cdot ... \cdot z_s} + \frac{1}{w_1 \cdot ... \cdot w_r}$$

In the trivial case, when $X = \mathbb{P}^s \times \mathbb{P}^r$ is a product, that is $a_1 = ... = a_r = 0$, one can readily verify that the solution scheme is given by

$$Crit(\mathbb{P}^s \times \mathbb{P}^r) = \{(z_k, w_l) | z_k \in Crit(\mathbb{P}^s), w_l \in Crit(\mathbb{P}^r)\} \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^{s+r}$$

Hence set $E(z_k, w_l) := E_{kl} \in Pic(\mathbb{P}^s \times \mathbb{P}^r)$. However, in general, the solution scheme $Crit(X)$ is not given in terms of roots of unity. In order to overcome this and define the map E in general we note that the Landau-Ginzburg potential f_X is an element of the space

$$L(\Delta^\circ) := \left\{ \sum_{n \in \Delta^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} e^{u_n} z^n | u_n \in \mathbb{C} \right\} \subset \mathbb{C}[z^{\pm}]$$

of Laurent polynomials whose Newton polytope is Δ°. In particular, one can similarly associate to any element $f_u \in L(\Delta^\circ)$ a solution scheme $Crit(X; f_u) \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. Consider the following complex 1-parametric family of Laurent polynomials in $L(\Delta^\circ)$ given by

$$f_u(z,w) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} z_i + \sum_{i=1}^{r} w_i + e^u \cdot \frac{w_1^{a_1} \cdot ... \cdot w_r^{a_r}}{z_1 \cdot ... \cdot z_s} + \frac{1}{w_1 \cdot ... \cdot w_r}$$

Let $\Theta : (\mathbb{C}^*)^{s+r} \to \mathbb{T}^2$ be the map given by

$$(z_1, ..., z_s, w_1, ..., w_r) \mapsto Arg\left(\frac{\prod_{i=1}^r w^{a_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^s z_i}, \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^r w_i}\right)$$

We have the following asymptotic generalization of the product case:

Theorem A: $lim_{t\to-\infty}\left(\Theta(Crit(X; f_t))\right) = \left\{\left(e^{2\pi i\cdot\left(\frac{l\sum_{i=1}^r a_i}{(s+1)(r+1)}+\frac{k}{s+1}\right)}, e^{\frac{2\pi i l}{r+1}}\right)\right\}_{k=0,l=0}^{s,r} \subset \mathbb{T}^2.$

In particular, the collection of roots of unity of (a) enables us to generalize the definition of the exceptional map $E : Crit(X) \to Pic(X)$ to any Fano projective bundle.
As mentioned, when studying exceptional collections, one is interested in the endomorphism algebra $A_{\mathcal{E}} = End\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^N E_i\right) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1,j=1}^N Hom(E_i, E_j)$. A choice of basis for the Hom-groups expresses the algebra $A_{\mathcal{E}}$ as the path algebra of a quiver with relations whose vertex set is $\mathcal{E}$, see [18, 28]. In our case there is a natural choice of such bases and we denote the resulting quiver by $\widetilde{Q}(a)$. In the Landau-Ginzburg setting, we introduced in [26], the monodromy action

$$M : \pi_1(L(\Delta^\circ) \setminus R_X, f_X) \to Aut(Crit(X))$$

where $R_X \subset L(\Delta^\circ)$ is the hypersurface of all elements such that $Crit(X; f)$ is non-reduced. The main feature of the exceptional map E is that the quiver $\widetilde{Q}(a)$ and, in particular, the structure of $Hom(E(z_i), E(z_j))$, could further be related to the geometry of the monodromy action M.
For any $(n,m) \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^{s+1} \times (\mathbb{Z}^+)^{r+1}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ consider the loop $\gamma^t_{(n.m)} : [0,1) \to L(\Delta^\circ)$ given by

$$\gamma^t_{(n,m)}(\theta) := \sum_{i=1}^{s} e^{2\pi i n_i \theta} z_i + \sum_{i=1}^{r} e^{2\pi i m_i \theta} w_i + e^t e^{2\pi i n_0 \theta} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r w_i^{a_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^s z_s} + \frac{e^{2\pi i m_0 \theta}}{\prod_{i=1}^r w_i}$$

Let $\eta_t : [0,1] \to L(\Delta^\circ)$ be the segment connecting f_X to f_t. Each loop $\gamma^t_{(n,m)}$ gives rise to a monodromy element:

$$\Gamma_{(n,m)} := lim_{t\to-\infty}[\eta_t^{-1} \circ \gamma^t_{(n,m)} \circ \eta_t] \in \pi_1(L(\Delta^\circ \setminus R_X, f_X)$$

We use the exceptional map E to express the solution scheme as

$$Crit(X) = \{(k,l)\}_{k,l=0}^{s,r} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/(s+1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(r+1)\mathbb{Z}$$

where (k,l) is the solution such that $E((k,l)) = E_{kl}$. For $(n,m) \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^{s+1} \times (\mathbb{Z}^+)^{r+1}$ set

$$|(n,m)|_1 := \sum_{i=0}^{s} n_i - \sum_{i=0}^{r} a_i m_i \quad ; \quad |(n,m)|_2 := \sum_{i=0}^{r} m_i$$

and consider the rectangle

$$D^+(k,l) = \left\{ (n,m) \middle| \begin{array}{c} -k < |(n,m)|_1 \leq s-k, \\ 0 < |(n,m)|_2 \leq r-l \end{array} \right\} \subset (\mathbb{Z}^+)^{s+1} \times (\mathbb{Z}^+)^{r+1}$$

We define the following spaces via the monodromy action

$$Hom_{mon}((k_1,l_1),(k_2,l_2)) := \bigoplus_{(n,m) \in M((k_1,l_1),(k_2,l_2))} \mathbb{C}\Gamma_{(n,m)} \quad \text{for} \quad (k_1,l_1),(k_2,l_2) \in Crit(X)$$

where

$$M((k_1,l_1),(k_2,l_2)) = \left\{ (n,m) | M(\Gamma_{(n,m)})(k_1,l_1) = (k_2,l_2) \text{ and } (n,m) \in D^+(k,l) \right\}$$

We show the following property of the map E:

Theorem B (M-aligned property): For any two solutions $(k,l),(k',l') \in Crit(X)$ the following holds

$$Hom(E_{k_1 l_1}, E_{k_2 l_2}) \simeq Hom_{mon}((k_1,l_1),(k_2,l_2))$$

The rest of the work is organized as follows: In section 2 we recall relevant facts on projective Fano bundles and their derived categories of coherent sheaves. In section 3 we study variations of the Landau-Ginzburg system, prove Theorem A and define the exceptional map. In section 4 we prove Theorem B and describe the quiver\monodromy correspondence. In section 5 we discuss concluding remarks and relations to further topics of mirror symmetry.

2. Relevant Facts on Toric Fano Manifolds

Let $N \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a lattice and let $M = N^\vee = Hom(N,\mathbb{Z})$ be the dual lattice. Denote by $N_\mathbb{R} = N \otimes \mathbb{R}$ and $M_\mathbb{R} = M \otimes \mathbb{R}$ the corresponding vector space. Let $\Delta \subset M_\mathbb{R}$ be an integral polytope and let

$$\Delta^\circ = \{n \mid (m,n) \geq -1 \text{ for every } m \in \Delta\} \subset N_\mathbb{R}$$

be the *polar* polytope of Δ. The polytope $\Delta \subset M_\mathbb{R}$ is said to be *reflexive* if $0 \in \Delta$ and $\Delta^\circ \subset N_\mathbb{R}$ is integral. A reflexive polytope Δ is said to be *Fano* if every facet of Δ° is the convex hall of a basis of M.

To an integral polytope $\Delta \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}$ associate the space

$$L(\Delta) = \bigoplus_{m \in \Delta \cap M} \mathbb{C}m$$

of Laurent polynomials whose Newton polytope is Δ. Denote by $i_\Delta : (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \to \mathbb{P}(L(\Delta)^\vee)$ the embedding given by $z \mapsto [z^m \mid m \in \Delta \cap M]$. The *toric variety* $X_\Delta \subset \mathbb{P}(L(\Delta)^\vee)$ corresponding to the polytope $\Delta \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}$ is defined to be the compactification of the image $i_\Delta((\mathbb{C}^*)^n) \subset \mathbb{P}(L(\Delta)^\vee)$. A toric variety X_Δ is said to be Fano if its anticanonical class $-K_X$ is Cartier and ample. In [4] Batyrev shows that X_Δ is a Fano variety if Δ is reflexive and, in this case, the embedding i_Δ is the anti-canonical embedding. The Fano variety X_Δ is smooth if and only if Δ° is a Fano polytope.

Denote by $\Delta(k)$ the set of k-dimensional faces of Δ and denote by $V_X(F) \subset X$ the orbit closure of the orbit corresponding to the facet $F \in \Delta(k)$ in X, see [20, 35]. In particular, consider the group of toric divisors

$$Div_T(X) := \bigoplus_{F \in \Delta(n-1)} \mathbb{Z} \cdot V_X(F)$$

Assuming X is a smooth the group $Pic(X)$ is described in terms of the short exact sequence

$$0 \to M \to Div_T(X) \to Pic(X) \to 0$$

where the map on the left hand side is given by $m \to \sum_F \langle m, n_F \rangle \cdot V_X(F)$ where $n_F \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the unit normal to the hyperplane spanned by the facet $F \in \Delta(n-1)$. In particular, note that

$$\rho(X) = rank\,(Pic(X)) = |\Delta(n-1)| - n$$

Moreover, when Δ is reflexive one has $\Delta^\circ(0) = \{n_F | F \in \Delta(n-1)\} \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$. We thus sometimes denote $V_X(n_F)$ for the T-invariant divisor $V_X(F)$. We denote by $Div_T^+(X)$ the semi-group of all toric divisors $\sum_F m_F \cdot V_X(F)$ with $0 \leq m_F$ for any $F \in \Delta(n-1)$.

Let X be a smooth projective variety and let $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$ be the derived category of bounded complexes of coherent sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules, see [22, 42]. For a finite dimensional algebra A denote by $\mathcal{D}^b(A)$ the derived category of bounded complexes of finite dimensional right modules over A. Given an object $T \in \mathcal{D}^b(X)$ denote by $A_T = Hom(T, T)$ the corresponding endomorphism algebra.

Definition 2.1: An object $T \in \mathcal{D}^b(X)$ is called a *tilting object* if the corresponding adjoint functors

$$RHom_X(T, -) : \mathcal{D}^b(X) \to \mathcal{D}^b(A_T) \quad ; \quad - \otimes^L_{A_T} T : \mathcal{D}^b(A_T) \to \mathcal{D}^b(X)$$

are equivalences of categories. A locally free tilting object is called a tilting bundle.

An object $E \in \mathcal{D}^b(X)$ is said to be *exceptional* if $Hom(E,E) = \mathbb{C}$ and $Ext^i(E,E) = 0$ for $0 < i$. We have:

Definition 2.2: An ordered collection $\mathcal{E} = \{E_1, ..., E_N\} \subset \mathcal{D}^b(X)$ is said to be an *exceptional collection* if each E_j is exceptional and $Ext^i(E_k, E_j) = 0$ for $j < k$ and $0 \leq i$. An exceptional collection is said to be *strongly exceptional* if also $Ext^i(E_j, E_k) = 0$ for $j \leq k$ and $0 < i$. A strongly exceptional collection is called *full* if its elements generate $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$ as a triangulated category.

The importance of full strongly exceptional collections in tilting theory is due to the following properties, see [8, 28]:

- If $\mathcal{E}$ is a full strongly exceptional collection then $T = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N E_i$ is a tilting object.

- If $T = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N E_i$ is a tilting object and $\mathcal{E} \subset Pic(X)$ then $\mathcal{E}$ can be ordered as a full strongly exceptional collection of line bundles.

By a result of Kleinschmidt's [29] the class of toric manifolds with $rk(Pic(X)) = 2$ consists of the projective bundles

$$X_a = \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^s} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^s}(a_i)\right) \qquad \text{with} \quad 0 \leq a_1 \leq ... \leq a_r$$

see also [17]. Set $a_0 = 0$. Consider the lattice $N = \mathbb{Z}^{s+r}$ and let $v_1, ..., v_s$ be the standard basis elements of $\mathbb{Z}^s$ and $e_1, ..., e_r$ be the standard basis elements of $\mathbb{Z}^r$. Set $v_0 = -\sum_{i=1}^s u_i + \sum_{i=1}^s a_i e_i$ and $e_0 = -\sum_{i=1}^r e_i$. Let $\Delta_a^\circ \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the polytope whose vertex set is given by

$$\Delta_a^\circ(0) = \{v_0, ..., v_s, e_0, ..., e_r\}$$

It is straightforward to verify that Δ_a, the polar of Δ_a°, is a Fano polytope if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i \leq s$. In particular, in this case $X_a \simeq X_{\Delta_a}$, see [17]. One has

$$Pic(X_a) = \xi \cdot \mathbb{Z} \oplus \pi^* H\mathbb{Z}$$

where ξ is the class of the tautological bundle and $\pi^* H$ is the pullback of the generator H of $Pic(\mathbb{P}^s) \simeq H \cdot \mathbb{Z}$ under the projection $\pi : X_a \to \mathbb{P}^s$. Note that the following holds

$$[V_X(v_i)] = \pi^* H \quad ; \quad [V_X(e_0)] = \xi \quad ; \quad [V_X(e_j)] = \xi - a_i \cdot \pi^* H$$

for $0 \leq i \leq s$ and $1 \leq j \leq r$.

It follows from results of Costa and Miró-Roig in [15] that the collection of line bundles $\mathcal{E} = \{E_{kl}\}_{k=0,l=0}^{s,r} \subset Pic(X)$ where

$$E_{kl} := k \cdot \pi^* H + l \cdot \xi \qquad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq k \leq s \, , \leq l \leq r$$

is a full strongly exceptional collection. In the next section we describe how the solution scheme $Crit(X) \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^{r+s}$ can be associated with similar invariants by considering asymptotic variations of the Landau-Ginzburg system of equations of X_a.

3. Variations of the LG-system and roots of unity

Let X be a n-dimensional toric Fano manifold given by a Fano polytope $\Delta \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}$ and let $\Delta^\circ \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the corresponding polar polytope. Set

$$L(\Delta^\circ) := \left\{ \sum_{n \in \Delta^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} u_n z^n | u_n \in \mathbb{C}^* \right\} \subset \mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm}, ..., z_n^{\pm}]$$

We refer to

$$z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} f_u(z_1, ..., z_n) = 0 \qquad \text{for} \quad i = 1, ..., n$$

as the LG-system of equations associated to an element $f_u(z) = \sum_{n \in \Delta^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} u_n z^n$ and denote by $Crit(X; f_u) \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ the corresponding solution scheme. We refer to the element $f_X(z) = \sum_{n \in \Delta^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} z^n$ as the LG-potential of X. In particular for the projective bundle X_a the Landau-Ginzburg potential is given by

$$f(z, w) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{s} z_i + \sum_{i=1}^{r} w_i + \frac{w_1^{a_1} \cdot ... \cdot w_r^{a_r}}{z_1 \cdot ... \cdot z_s} + \frac{1}{w_1 \cdot ... \cdot w_r} \in L(\Delta_a^\circ)$$

We consider the 1-parametric family of Laurent polynomials

$$f_u(z, w) := 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{s} z_i + \sum_{i=1}^{r} w_i + e^u \cdot \frac{w_1^{a_1} \cdot ... \cdot w_r^{a_r}}{z_1 \cdot ... \cdot z_s} + \frac{1}{w_1 \cdot ... \cdot w_r} \in L(\Delta_a^\circ)$$

for $u \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $Arg : (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \to \mathbb{T}^n$ be the argument map given by

$$\left(r_1 e^{2\pi i \theta_1}, ..., r_n e^{2\pi i \theta_n}\right) \mapsto (\theta_1, ... \theta_n)$$

In general, the image $A(V) := Arg(V) \subset \mathbb{T}^n$ of an algebraic subvariety $V \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ under the argument map is known as the co-amoeba of V, see [37]. For $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $1 \leq j \leq r$ consider the following sub-varieties of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{s+r}$:

$$V_i^u = \left\{ z_i - e^u \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r w_i^{a_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^s z_i} = 0 \right\} \quad ; \quad W_j^u = \left\{ w_i + a_i e^u \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r w_i^{a_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^s z_i} - \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^r w_i} = 0 \right\}$$

Clearly, by definition $Crit(X; f_u) = (\bigcap_{i=1}^s V_i^u) \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^r W_i^u)$. For the co-amoeba one has

$$A(Crit(X; f_u)) \subset \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{s} A(V_i^u) \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{r} A(W_i^u) \right) \subset \mathbb{T}^{s+r}$$

Let $(\theta_1,...,\theta_s,\delta_1,...,\delta_r)$ be coordinates on $\mathbb{T}^{s+r}$. We have, via straight-forward computation:

Lemma 3.1: For $1\leq i\leq s$ and $1\leq j\leq r$:

(1) $lim_{t\to-\infty}A(V_i^t)=\left\{\theta_i+\sum_{i=1}^s\theta_i-\sum_{j=1}^r a_j\delta_j=0\right\}\subset\mathbb{T}^{s+r}$

(2) $lim_{t\to-\infty}A(W_j^t)=\left\{\delta_j+\sum_{j=1}^r\delta j=0\right\}\subset\mathbb{T}^{s+r}$

Let $\Theta:(\mathbb{C}^*)^{s+r}\to(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ be the map given by

$$(z_1,...,z_s,w_1,...,w_r)\mapsto Arg\left(\frac{\prod_{i=1}^r w^{a_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^s z_i},\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^r w_i}\right)$$

We have:

Proposition 3.2:

$$lim_{t\to-\infty}\left(\Theta(Crit(X;f_t))\right)=\left\{\left(\frac{l\sum_{i=1}^r a_i}{(s+1)(r+1)}+\frac{k}{s+1},\frac{l}{r+1}\right)\right\}_{k=0,l=0}^{s,r}\subset\mathbb{T}^2$$

Proof: Set $A_i=lim_{t\to-\infty}A(V_i^t)$ and $B_j=lim_{t\to-\infty}A(W_j^t)$ for $1\leq i\leq s$ and $1\leq j\leq r$. If $(\theta,\delta)\in\bigcap_{j=1}^r B_j$ then $\delta:=\delta_1=...=\delta_r$ and $(r+1)\delta=0$ in $\mathbb{T}$. If

$$(\theta,\delta)\in\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^s A_i\right)\cap\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^r B_j\right)$$

then $\theta=\theta_1=...=\theta_s$ and $\delta=\frac{l}{r+1}$ for some $0\leq l\leq r$. As $(s+1)\theta-\sum_{j=1}^r a_j\delta$ we get $\theta=\sum_{j=1}^r\frac{a_jl}{(s+1)(r+1)}+\frac{k}{s+1}$ for $1\leq k\leq s$. As there are exactly $(r+1)(s+1)$ such elements (θ,δ) we get $lim_{t\to-\infty}A(Crit(X;f_t))=(\bigcap_{i=1}^s A_i)\cap(\bigcap_{j=1}^r B_j)$. $\square$

Each solution $(z,w)\in Crit(X)$ extends to a unique smooth curve $(z(t),w(t))\subset(\mathbb{C}^*)^{s+r}$ for $t\leq 0$ satisfying $(z^t,w^t)\in Crit(X;f_t)$. Set $\rho_n:=\frac{2\pi i}{(n+1)}$ and $\theta_{n,m}(a):=\frac{2\pi i\sum a_k}{(n+1)(m+1)}$ for $n,m\in\mathbb{Z}$. By Proposition 3.2 we have

$$lim_{t\to-\infty}\Theta(z(t),w(t))=(l\cdot\theta_{r,s}(a)+k\cdot\rho_s,l\cdot\rho_r)$$

For some $0\leq k\leq s$ and $0\leq l\leq r$. In particular, set $k(z,w):=k$ and $l(z,w):=l$. We are now in position to define:

Definition 3.3 (Exceptional map): Let $E:Crit(X)\to Pic(X)$ be the map given by

$$E(z,w):=k(z,w)\cdot\pi^*H+l(z,w)\cdot\xi$$

for $(z,w) \in Crit(X)$.

Let us note the following remark:

Remark 3.4 (Geometric viewpoint): Consider the Riemann surface

$$C_s(a) := \left\{ \left(\frac{w_1^{a_1} \cdot ... \cdot w_r^{a_r}}{z_1 \cdot ... \cdot z_s}, \frac{1}{w_1 \cdot ... \cdot w_r}, u \right) | (z,w) \in Crit(X; f_u) \right\} \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^3$$

Denote by $\pi : C_s(a) \to \mathbb{C}^*$ the projection on the third factor which expresses $C_s(a)$ as an algebraic fibration over $\mathbb{C}^*$ of rank $N = \chi(X)$. Denote by $C_s(a;u) = \pi^{-1}(u)$ for $u \in \mathbb{C}^*$. A graphic illustration of $C_s(a)$ together with the curves $C(a;t)$ for $0 \leq t$ for the Hirzebruch surface $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1))$ is as follows:



An amusing analogy can be drawn between the resulting dynamics and the cue game of "pool". Indeed, consider the Riemann surface $C_s(a)$ as a "pool table", the cusps of the surface as the "pockets", and the set $C_s(a;0) \simeq Crit(X)$ as an initial set of "balls". In this analogy the dynamics of $C_s(a;t)$ describes the path in which the balls approach the various "pockets" of the table as $t \to \pm\infty$.

Set $\Theta_{\pm}(X) = lim_{t \to \pm\infty} \Theta(Crit(X; f_t)) \subset \mathbb{T}^2$. Note that defintion 3.3 of the exceptional map utilized only the sets $\Theta_-(X)$. It is interesting to ask whether $\Theta_+(X)$ can also be interpreted in terms of the exceptional map E. Consider the following example:

Example 3.5 (The Hirzebruch surface): Let $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1))$ be the Hirzebruch surface. Recall that

$$X = \{([z_0 : z_1 : z_2], [\lambda_0 : \lambda_1]) | \lambda_0 z_0 + \lambda_1 z_1 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$$

Denote by $p : X \to \mathbb{P}^2$ and $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ the projection to the first and second factor, respectively. Note that p expresses X as the blow up of $\mathbb{P}^2$ at the point $[0:0:1] \in \mathbb{P}^2$ and π is the fibration map. The group $Pic(X)$ is described, in turn, in the following two ways

$$Pic(X) \simeq p^* H_{\mathbb{P}^2} \cdot \mathbb{Z} \oplus E \cdot \mathbb{Z} \simeq \pi^* H_{\mathbb{P}^1} \cdot \mathbb{Z} \oplus \xi \cdot \mathbb{Z}$$

Where E is class of the the line bundle whose first Chern class $c_1(E) \in H^2(X;\mathbb{Z})$ is the Poincare dual of the exceptional divisor and ξ is the class of the tautological bundle of π. The exceptional collection is expressed in these bases by

$$\mathcal{E}_X = \{0, p^*H_{\mathbb{P}^2} - E, 2p^*H_{\mathbb{P}^2} - E, p^*H_{\mathbb{P}^2}\} = \{0, \pi^*H_{\mathbb{P}^1}, \pi^*H_{\mathbb{P}^1} + \xi, \xi\}$$

Let us note that we have $p_*\{0, p^*H_{\mathbb{P}^2}, 2p^*H_{\mathbb{P}^2} - E\} = \{0, H_{\mathbb{P}^2}, 2H_{\mathbb{P}^2}\} = \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{P}^2}$, while we think of the additional element $p^*H - E$ as "added by the blow up".
On the other hand, direct computation gives $\Theta_+(X) = \mu(3) \cup \mu(1)$ where $\mu(n) = \left\{e^{\frac{2\pi ki}{n}} | k = 0, ..., n-1\right\} \subset \mathbb{T}$ is the set of n-roots of unity for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. (see illustration in Remark 3.4). For $(k,l) \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ let $\gamma_{kl}(t) = (z_{kl}(t), w_{kl}(t)) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^{s+r}$ be the smooth curve defined by the condition $(z_{kl}(t), w_{kl}(t)) \in Crit(X; f_t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $E(z_{kl}(0), w_{kl}(0)) = E_{kl}$. Define the map $I^+ : Crit(X) \to \Theta_+(X)$ by

$$I^+(z_{kl}, w_{kl}) := lim_{t\to\infty}(\Theta(z_{kl}(t), w_{kl}(t)))$$

By direct computation

$$I^+((z_{00}, w_{00})) = \rho_3^0 \quad ; \quad I^+((z_{01}, w_{01})) = \rho_3^1 \quad ; \quad I^+((z_{11}, w_{11})) = \rho_3^2 \quad ; \quad I^+((z_{10}, w_{10})) = 1$$

where $\rho = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} \in \mu(3)$. Simlarly, define the map $I^- : Crit(X) \to \Theta_-(X)$, on the other hand, taking $t \to -\infty$ in the limit. Note that this is the way we defined the exceptional map E in the first place. We thus view the map $I : \Theta_-(X) \to \Theta_+(X)$ given by $I = I^+ \circ (I^-)^{-1}$ as a "geometric interpolation" between the bundle description of $\mathcal{E}_X$ and the blow up description of $\mathcal{E}_X$.

4. Monodromies and the Endomorphism Ring

Given a full strongly exceptional collection $\mathcal{E} = \{E_i\}_{i=1}^N \subset Pic(X)$ one is interested in the structure of its endomorphism algebra

$$A_{\mathcal{E}} = End\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^N E_i\right) = \bigoplus_{i,j=0}^N Hom(E_i, E_j) = \bigoplus_{i,j=0}^N H^0(X; E_j \otimes E_i^{-1})$$

Our aim in this section is to show how this algebra is naturally reflected in the monodromy group action of the Landau-Ginzburg system, in our case. Note that, in our case

$$Div_T(X) = \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^s \mathbb{Z} \cdot V_X(v_i)\right) \bigoplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^r \mathbb{Z} \cdot V_X(e_i)\right)$$

First, we have:

Proposition 4.1 Let $X = \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^s} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^s}(a_i)\right)$ be a projective Fano bundle and let $L_{kl} = k \cdot \pi^* H + l \cdot \xi \in Pic(X)$ be any element. Then

$$H^0(X; L_{kl}) \simeq \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{s} n_i V_X(v_i) + \sum_{i=0}^{r} m_i V_X(e_i) \middle| |m| = l \text{ and } |n| = k + \sum_{i=0}^{r} m_i a_i \right\} \subset Div_T^+(X)$$

Recall that a *quiver with relations* $\widetilde{Q} = (Q, R)$ is a directed graph Q with a two sided ideal R in the path algebra $\mathbb{C}Q$ of Q, see [18]. In particular, a quiver with relations $\widetilde{Q}$ determines the associative algebra $A_{\widetilde{Q}} = \mathbb{C}Q/R$, called the path algebra of $\widetilde{Q}$. In general, a collection of elements $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{D}^b(X)$ and a basis $B \subset A_{\mathcal{C}} := End\left(\bigoplus_{E \in \mathcal{C}} E\right)$ determine a quiver with relations $\widetilde{Q}(\mathcal{C}, B)$ whose vertex set is $\mathcal{C}$ such that $A_{\mathcal{C}} \simeq A_{\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{C},B)}$, see [28]. By Proposition 4.1 the algebra $A_{\mathcal{E}}$ comes with the basis $\{V(v_0), ..., V(v_s), V(e_0), ..., V(e_r)\}$. We denote the resulting quiver by $Q_s(a_0, ..., a_r)$. For example, the quiver $Q_3(0, 1, 2)$ for $X = \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2)\right)$ is the following



On the other hand, on the Landau-Ginzburg side, let $R_X \subset L(\Delta^\circ)$ be the hypersurface of all $f \in L(\Delta^\circ)$ such that $Crit(X; f)$ is non-reduced. Whenever $Crit(X)$ is reduced, one obtains, via standard analytic continuation, a monodromy map of the following form

$$M : \pi_1(L(X) \setminus R_X, f_X) \to Aut(Crit(X))$$

For a divisor $D = \sum_{i=0}^{s} n_i V_X(v_i) + \sum_{i=0}^{r} m_i V_X(e_i) \in Div_T(X)$ and $u \in \mathbb{C}$ consider the loop

$$\gamma_D^u(\theta) := \sum_{i=1}^{s} e^{2\pi i n_i \theta} z_i + \sum_{i=1}^{r} e^{2\pi i m_i \theta} w_i + e^u \cdot e^{2\pi i n_0 \theta} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r w_i^{a_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^s z_s} + \frac{e^{2\pi i m_0 \theta}}{\prod_{i=1}^r w_i}$$

For $\theta \in [0,1)$. To a loop $\gamma^t : [0,1] \to L(\Delta^\circ)$ with base point $\gamma(0) = \gamma(1) = f_t$ we associate the loop

$$\widetilde{\gamma}^t(\theta) := \begin{cases} (1-3\theta)f_X + 3\theta f_t & \theta \in [0, \frac{1}{3}) \\ \gamma^t(3\theta - 1) & \theta \in [\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}] \\ (3\theta-1)f_t + (3\theta-2)f_X & \theta \in (\frac{2}{3}, 1] \end{cases}$$

Define $\Gamma_D := lim_{t\to-\infty}[\widetilde{\gamma}^t_D] \in \pi_1(L(\Delta^\circ) \setminus R_X, f_X)$ and set $\widetilde{M}_D := M(\Gamma_D) \in Aut(Crit(X))$. Express the solution scheme as

$$Crit(X) = \{(z_{kl}, w_{kl})\}_{k=0,l=0}^{s,r} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/(r+1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(s+1)\mathbb{Z}$$

where $E((z_{kl}, w_{kl})) = E_{kl}$. We have:

Theorem 4.2 For $(k,l) \in \mathbb{Z}/(s+1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(r+1)\mathbb{Z} \simeq Crit(X)$ the monodromy action satisfies:

(a) $\widetilde{M}_{V(v_j)}(k,l) = (k+1, l)$ for $j = 0, ..., s$.

(b) $\widetilde{M}_{V(e_j)}(k,l) = (k - a_j, l+1)$ for $j = 0, ..., r$.

Proof : For a divisor $D \in Div_T(X)$ and $\theta \in [0,1)$ Set

$$V^{u,\theta}_{D,i} := \left\{ e^{2\pi i n_i \theta} z_i - e^u e^{2\pi i n_0 \theta} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r w_i^{a_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^s z_i} = 0 \right\} \quad ; \quad W^{u,\theta}_{D,j} := \left\{ e^{2\pi i m_j} w_j + a_i e^u e^{2\pi i n_0 \theta} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r w_i^{a_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^s z_i} - \frac{e^{2\pi i m_0}}{\prod_{i=1}^r w_i} = 0 \right\}$$

where $1 \le i \le s$, $1 \le j \le r$ and $u \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $(\theta_1, ..., \theta_s, \delta_1, ..., \delta_r)$ be coordinates on $\mathbb{T}^{s+r}$. It is clear that:

- $A^{t,\theta}_{D,i} := lim_{t\to-\infty} A(V^{t,\theta}_{D,i}) = \left\{ \theta_i + \sum_{i=1}^s \theta_i - \sum_{j=1}^r a_j \delta_j + (n_i - n_0)\theta = 0 \right\} \subset \mathbb{T}^{s+r}$

- $B^{t,\theta}_{D,j} := lim_{t\to-\infty} A(W^{t,\theta}_{D,j}) = \left\{ \delta_j + \sum_{j=1}^r \delta_j + (m_j - m_0)\theta = 0 \right\} \subset \mathbb{T}^{s+r}$

For $D = V(v_0)$ we have $(\theta, \delta) \in \bigcap_{j=1}^r B^{t,\theta}_{D,j}$ then $\delta := \delta_1 = ... = \delta_r$ and $(r+1)\delta = 0$ hence $\delta = \frac{l}{r+1}$ for some $0 \le l \le r$. Assume further that $(\theta, \delta) \in (\bigcap_{i=1}^s A^{t,\theta}_{D,i}) \cap (\bigcap_{j=1}^r B^{t,\theta}_{D,j})$ then $\widetilde{\theta} = \theta_1 = ... = \theta_s$ and $(s+1)\widetilde{\theta} - \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{a_j l}{r+1} - \theta = 0$. Hence, $\widetilde{\theta} = \frac{k}{s+1} + \frac{l\sum_{j=1}^r a_j}{(s+1)(r+1)} + \frac{\theta}{(s+1)}$ for some $0 \le k \le s$.
For $D = V(v_i)$ if $(\theta, \delta) \in (\bigcap_{i=1}^s A^{t,\theta}_{D,i}) \cap (\bigcap_{j=1}^r B^{t,\theta}_{D,j})$ then $\widetilde{\theta} = \theta_1 = ... = \hat{\theta}_i = ... = \theta_s$ and $\theta_i = \widetilde{\theta} - \theta$ and again $(s+1)\widetilde{\theta} - \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{a_j l}{r+1} - \theta = 0$. Hence, $\widetilde{\theta} = \frac{k}{s+1} + \frac{l\sum_{j=1}^r a_j}{(s+1)(r+1)} + \frac{\theta}{(s+1)}$ for some $0 \le k \le s$
For $D = V(e_0)$ we have $(\theta, \delta) \in \bigcap_{j=1}^r B^{t,\theta}_{D,j}$ then $\delta := \delta_1 = ... = \delta_r$ and $(r+1)\delta = \theta$ hence $\delta = \frac{l+\theta}{r+1}$ for some $0 \le l \le r$. Assume further that $(\theta, \delta) \in (\bigcap_{i=1}^s A^{t,\theta}_{D,i}) \cap (\bigcap_{j=1}^r B^{t,\theta}_{D,j})$ then

$\widetilde{\theta} = \theta_1 = ... = \theta_s$ and $(s+1)\widetilde{\theta} - \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{a_j(l+\theta)}{r+1} = 0$. Hence, $\widetilde{\theta} = \frac{k}{s+1} + \frac{(l+\theta)\sum_{j=1}^{r} a_j}{(s+1)(r+1)}$ for some $0 \leq k \leq s$.
For $D = V(e_j)$ we have $(\theta, \delta) \in \bigcap_{j=1}^{r} B_{D,j}^{t,\theta}$ then $\delta := \delta_1 = ... = \hat{\delta_j} = ... = \delta_r$ and $\delta_j = \delta - \theta$ hence $(r+1)\delta = \theta$ and $\delta = \frac{l+\theta}{r+1}$ for some $0 \leq l \leq r$. Assume $(\theta, \delta) \in (\bigcap_{i=1}^{s} A_{D,i}^{t,\theta}) \cap (\bigcap_{j=1}^{r} B_{D,j}^{t,\theta})$ then $\widetilde{\theta} = \theta_1 = ... = \theta_s$ and $(s+1)\widetilde{\theta} - \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{a_j(l+\theta)}{r+1} + a_j\theta = 0$. Hence, $\widetilde{\theta} = \frac{k - a_j\theta}{s+1} + \frac{(l+\theta)\sum_{j=1}^{r} a_j}{(s+1)(r+1)}$ for some $0 \leq k \leq s$. $\square$

For instance, consider the following example:

Example (monodromies for $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2))$): The following diagram outlines the corresponding monodromies on $\mathbb{T}^2$:



Blue lines describe the monodromy action of v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3 (which are, in practice, all linear in the horizontal direction), black lines describe the action of e_0 while red and green lines describe the action of e_1, e_2 respectively.

For a divisor $D \in Div_T(X)$ set

$$|D|_1 := \sum_{i=0}^{s} n_i - \sum_{i=0}^{r} a_i m_i \quad ; \quad |D|_2 = \sum_{i=0}^{r} m_i$$

Set

$$Div^+(k,l) := \{D | 0 < k + |D|_1 \leq s \text{ and } 0 < l + |D|_2 \leq r\} \subset Div_T^+(X)$$

For two solutions $(k_1,l_1),(k_2,l_2)\in\mathbb{Z}/(s+1)\mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}/(r+1)\mathbb{Z}$ we define

$$Hom_{mon}((k_1,l_1),(k_2,l_2)):=\bigoplus_{D\in M((k_1,l_1),(k_2,l_2))}\widetilde{M}_D\cdot\mathbb{Z}$$

where

$$M((k_1,l_1),(k_2,l_2)):=\left\{D|\widetilde{M}_D(k_1,l_1)=(k_2,l_2)\text{ and }D\in Div^+(k_1,l_1)\right\}$$

We have:

Corollary 4.3 (M-Aligned property): For any two solutions $(k_1,l_1),(k_2,l_2)\in Crit(X)$ the following holds

$$Hom(E_{k_1l_1},E_{k_2l_2})\simeq Hom_{mon}((k_1,l_1),(k_2,l_2))$$

Furthermore, the composition map

$$Hom(E_{k_1l_1},E_{k_2l_2})\otimes Hom(E_{k_2l_2},E_{k_3l_3})\to Hom(E_{k_1l_1},E_{k_3l_3})$$

is induced by the map

$$Mon((k_1,l_1),(k_2,l_2))\times Mon((k_2,l_2),(k_3,l_3))\to Mon((k_1,l_1),(k_3,l_3))$$

given by $(D_1,D_2)\mapsto D_1+D_2$.

5. Discussion and Concluding Remarks

We would like to conclude with the following remarks and questions:

(a) Monodromies and Lagrangian submanifolds: A leading source of interest for the study of the structure of $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$, in recent years, has been their role in the famous homological mirror symmetry conjecture due to Kontsevich, see [28]. For a toric Fano manifold X denote by X° the toric variety given by Δ°, the polar polytope of Δ. It is generally accepted, that in this setting, the analog of the HMS-conjecture relates the structure of $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$ to the structure of $Fuk(\widetilde{Y}^\circ)$, where $\widetilde{Y}^\circ$ is a disingularization of a hyperplane section Y° of X°, see [2, 32, 40]. It is thus natural to pose the following question:

Question: Is it possible to naturally associate a Lagrangian submanifold $L(z)\subset\widetilde{Y}^\circ$ to a solution $z\in Crit(X)$ with the property

$$HF(L(z),L(w))\simeq Hom_{mon}(z,w)\qquad\text{for }\ z,w\in Crit(X)$$

where HF stands for Lagrangian Floer homology?

(b) **Further toric Fano manifolds:** The Landau-Ginzburg potential of a toric Fano manifold X could always be written in the form $f_X(z) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i + \sum_{j=1}^{\rho(X)} z^{n_j}$ where $\rho(X) = rk(Pic(X))$, by taking an automorphism of the polytope Δ. Consider the map $\Theta : (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \to \mathbb{T}^\rho$ given by

$$(z_1, ..., z_n) \mapsto Arg(z^{n_1}, ..., z^{n_j})$$

For an element $f_u(z) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_n + \sum_{j=1}^{\rho} e^{u_j} z^{n_j} \in L(\Delta^\circ)$ and $i = 1, ..., n$ define the hypersurfaces

$$V_i(u_1, ..., u_n) = \left\{ z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} f_u = 0 \right\} \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$$

It is interesting to ask to which extent the study of the properties of the "co-tropical LG-system of equations"

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \Theta(V_i(u_1, ..., u_n)) \subset \mathbb{T}^\rho$$

for $|u| \to \infty$ could be further related to exceptional collections $\mathcal{E}_X \subset Pic(X)$ and their quivers for other, more general, examples of toric Fano manifolds.

Let us note that the zero set $V(f) = \{f = 0\} \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ of an element $f \in L(\Delta^\circ)$ is an affine Calabi-Yau hypersurface. In [4] Batyrev introduced $\mathcal{M}(\Delta^\circ)$ the toric moduli of such affine Calabi-Yau hyper-surfaces which is a $\rho(X)$-dimensional singular toric variety obtained as the quotient of $L(\Delta^\circ)$ by appropriate equivalence relations. In [4] Batyrev further shows that $PH^{n-1}(V(f)) \simeq Jac(f)$, where $Jac(f)$ is the function ring of the solution scheme $Crit(X; f) \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$.

In this sense our approach could be viewed as a suggesting that in the toric Fano case homological data about the structure of $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$, could, in fact, be extracted from the local behavior around the boundary of the B-model moduli, which in our case is $\mathcal{M}(\Delta^\circ)$, rather than the Fukaya category appearing in the general homological mirror symmetry conjecture, whose structure is typically much harder to analyze.

Acknowledgements: This research has been partially supported by the European Research Council Advanced grant 338809.

References

[1] P. Achinger A note on the Frobenius morphism on toric varieties. arXiv:1012.2021.

[2] D. Auroux, L. Katzarkov, D. Orlov. Mirror symmetry for del Pezzo surfaces: vanishing cycles and coherent sheaves. Invent. Math. 166 (2006), no. 3, 537–582.

[3] V. Batyrev. Quantum cohomology rings of toric manifolds. Journees de Geometrie Algebrique d'Orsay (Orsay, 1992). Asterisque No. 218 (1993), 9–34.

[4] V. Batyrev. Dual polyhedra and mirror symmetry for Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces in toric varieties. J. Algebraic Geom. 3 (1994), no. 3, 493–535.

[5] V. Batyrev. On the classification of toric Fano 4 -folds. Algebraic geometry, 9. J. Math. Sci. (New York) 94 (1999), no. 1, 1021–1050.
[6] V. Batyrev. Toric Fano threefolds. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 45 (1981), no. 4, 704–717, 927.
[7] A. Beilinson. The derived category of coherent sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^n$. Selected translations. Selecta Math. Soviet. 3 (1983/84), no. 3, 233–237.
[8] A. Bondal. Helices, representations of quivers and Koszul algebras. Helices and vector bundles, 75–95, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 148, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.
[9] A. Bondal. Representations of associative algebras and coherent sheaves. Math. USSR-Izv. 34 (1990), no. 1, 23–42.
[10] A. Bondal. Derived categories of toric varieties. Obervolfach reports, 3 (1), 284–286, 2006.
[11] L. Borisov, Z. Hua. On the conjecture of King for smooth toric Deligne-Mumford stacks. Adv. Math. 221 (2009), no. 1, 277–301.
[12] A. Bernardi, S. Tirabassi. Derived categories of toric Fano 3-folds via the Frobenius morphism. Matematiche (Catania) 64 (2009), no. 2, 117–154.
[13] L. Costa, R. M. Miró-Roig. Derived category of toric varieties with small Picard number. Cent. Eur. J. Math. 10 (2012), no. 4, 1280–1291.
[14] L. Costa, R. M. Miró-Roig. Frobenius splitting and derived category of toric varieties. Illinois J. Math. 54 (2010), no. 2, 649–669.
[15] L. Costa, R. M. Miró-Roig. Derived categories of projective bundles. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 133 (2005), no. 9, 2533–2537.
[16] L. Costa, S. Di Rocco, R. M. Miró-Roig. Derived category of fibrations. Math. Res. Lett. 18 (2011), no. 3, 425–432.
[17] D. Cox, J.B. Little, H.K Schenck. Toric varieties. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 124. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011.
[18] H. Derksen, J. Weyman. Quiver representations. Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 52 (2005), no. 2, 200–206.
[19] A. I. Efimov. Maximal lengths of exceptional collections of line bundles. 2010, arXiv:1010.3755.
[20] W. Fulton. Introduction to toric varieties. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 131. The William H. Roever Lectures in Geometry. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
[21] K. Fukaya, Y-G. Oh, H. Ohta, K. Ono. Lagrangian Floer theory on compact toric manifolds. I. Duke Math. J. 151 (2010), no. 1, 23–174.
[22] S. Gelfand, Y. Manin. Methods of homological algebra. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
[23] I. Gelfand, M. Kapranov, A. Zelevinsky. Discriminants, resultants, and multidimensional determinants. Mathematics: Theory and Applications. Birkhauser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1994.
[24] L. Hille, M. Perling. A counterexample to King's conjecture. Compos. Math. 142 (2006), no. 6, 1507–1521.
[25] K. Hori, C. Vafa. Mirror Symmetry. arXiv:hep-th/0002222
[26] Y. Jerby. On Landau-Ginzburg systems, Quivers and Monodromy. arXiv:1310.2436v4.
[27] Y. Kawamata. Derived categories of toric varieties. Michigan Math. J. 54 (2006), no. 3, 517–535.
[28] A. King. Tilting bundles on some rational surfaces. preprint.
[29] P. Kleinschmidt. A classification of toric varieties with few generators. Aequationes Math. 35 (1988), no. 2-3, 254–266.

[30] A. G. Kushnirenko. Newton polytopes and the Bezout theorem. Functional Analysis and Its Applications Volume 10, Number 3 (1976), 233–235.

[31] M. Kontsevich. Homological algebra of mirror symmetry. Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Zurich, 1994), 120-139, Birkhauser, Basel, 1995.

[32] M. Kontsevich. Course at ENS. 1998, http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0002222

[33] M. Kreuzer, B. Nill. Classification of toric Fano 5-folds. Adv. Geom. 9 (2009), no. 1, 85–97.

[34] M. Lason, M. Michalek. On the full, strongly exceptional collections on toric varieties with Picard number three. Collect. Math. 62 (2011), no. 3, 275–296.

[35] T. Oda. Convex bodies and algebraic geometry - toric varieties and applications. I. Algebraic Geometry Seminar (Singapore, 1987), 89–94, World Sci. Publishing, Singapore, 1988.

[36] Y. Ostrover, I. Tyomkin. On the quantum homology algebra of toric Fano manifolds. Selecta Math. (N.S.) 15 (2009), no. 1, 121–149.

[37] M. Passare, A. Tsikh. Amoebas: their spines and their contours. Idempotent mathematics and mathematical physics, 275-288, Contemp. Math. 377, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI 2005.

[38] M. Perling. Some Quivers Describing the Derived Category of the Toric del Pezzos. preprint.

[39] M. Perling. Examples for exceptional sequences of invertible sheaves on rational surfaces. Séminaires et Congrés 25 (2013), Soc. Math. France, Paris, 369-389.

[40] P. Seidel. More about vanishing cycles and mutation. Symplectic geometry and mirror symmetry (Seoul, 2000), 429–465, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2001.

[41] H, Sato. Toward the classification of higher-dimensional toric Fano varieties. Tohoku Math. J. (2) 52 (2000), no. 3, 383–413.

[42] R. Thomas Derived categories for the working mathematician. Providence, R.I, Mirror symmetry; Winter school on mirror symmetry, vector bundles and Lagrangian submanifolds, Cambridge, MA, January 1999, Publisher: American Mathematical Society

[43] H. Uehara. Exceptional collections on toric Fano threefolds and birational geometry. 2010, arXiv:1012.4086.

[44] K. Watanabe, M. Watanabe. The classification of Fano 3 -folds with torus embeddings. Tokyo J. Math. 5 (1982), no. 1, 37–48.

School of Mathematical Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Ramat Aviv, Tel Aviv 6997801, Israel

E-mail address: yochayjerby@post.tau.ac.il